

Undergraduate Categorical Marking Scale and Assessment Description Effective from 1st September 2025

Classification	Additional Description for First Class level only	Categorical Marking Scale	Detailed Assessment Description
Undergraduate	Year 0, Level	4, 5 and 6	
First Classification	Outstanding First	100 Upper 95 Middle 92 Lower	Learning Outcome: Exceptional in all aspects, substantially exceeding expectations for this level. Assessment Criteria: Inclusion of multiple elements beyond those required, demonstrating a mastery of the subject and an ability to engage with advanced concepts in an innovative way. Work that is typically characterised by evidence of the following: Exceptional level of knowledge and understanding of complex, cutting-edge issues, theories, and principles Extensive and original independent thought, demonstrating deep and innovative critical engagement with the subject matter. Exceptional synthesis of ideas, critically challenging conventional views or existing knowledge with fresh perspectives or approaches. Novel insights or well-substantiated propositions that have the potential for publication. Exceptionally well-structured and coherent argumentation, clearly demonstrating a deep understanding of the complexity of the topic and presenting it in a highly accessible manner. Extensive use of a wide range of sources, incorporating both foundational and highly specialized materials to support arguments, accurately referenced and deeply integrated into the work



			 The work's quality is at a level that is publishable or could meet professional standards for dissemination or implementation, potentially pushing the boundaries of the field. Excellent communication with clear, concise, and accurate expression that enhances the understanding of complex ideas.
First	Publishable/	88	Learning Outcome: Outstanding in most/all aspects,
Classification	Professional	Upper	substantially exceeding expectations for this level.
	standard	85	Assessment Criteria: Underpinned by originality
		Middle	and/or novel ideas in thinking and a strong critical appreciation of the topic. No improvement could
		82 Lower	reasonably be expected.
			Work that is typically characterised by evidence of the following:
			An outstanding level of knowledge and
			understanding of complex issues, key concepts and principles at the forefront of the discipline
			 Outstanding awareness of subject and/or practice Outstanding evidence of original, independent and critical thought
			A strong, well-structured argument that is convincing and well supported by an outstanding range of sources and/or evidence
			 Pushes the boundaries of existing knowledge Evidence of extensive and discriminating reading/use of source material, accurately used in
			 support of the work Clearly structured, robust and persuasive arguments, lucidly written, uses clear and accurate expression



	1	Г	
First	Meets	78	Learning Outcome: Excellent quality, exceeding
Classification	classification Criteria	Upper	expectations for this level in many aspects.
		75 Middle 72 Lower	Assessment Criteria: Inclusion of elements beyond
			those required.
			Work that is typically characterised by evidence of the
			following:
			A very good to excellent level of knowledge and
			understanding of complex issues, key concepts
			and principles at the forefront of the discipline
			A very good to excellent awareness of subject
			and/or practice
			Evidence of original, independent, and critical
			thought
			A strong, well-structured argument that is
			convincing and well-supported by a wide range of
			sources and/or evidence
			Explores the boundaries of existing knowledge
			Evidence of extensive and discriminating
			reading/use of source material, accurately used in
		support of the work	
			Clearly structured, robust and persuasive
			arguments, lucidly written, uses clear and accurate
			expression
			·

2.1	68	Learning Outcome: Meets all the intended learning
Classification	Upper	outcomes and exceeds the threshold expectations for
		this level in several of them.
		Assessment Criteria: Complete with no important
	65	omissions.
	Middle	Work that is typically characterised by evidence of the
		following:



	62 Lower	 A good or very good level of knowledge and understanding of key concepts and principles Good or very good awareness of subject and/or practice Able to describe and use a range of major concepts, theories and methodologies in a good or very good level of detail Strong arguments which offer good analysis of key issues Use of a broad/wide range of sources and/or evidence to support work May contain some minor errors and/or minor areas for improvement Clearly structured, robust and persuasive arguments, lucidly written, uses clear and accurate expression
2.2 Classification	58 Upper 55 Middle 52 Lower	 Learning Outcome: Meets all the intended learning outcomes for this level and exceeds the threshold expectations for this level in some of them. Assessment Criteria: Addresses the question/assignment. Some omissions. Work that is typically characterised by evidence of the following: A satisfactory level of knowledge and understanding of key concepts and principles Sufficient awareness of the subject and/or practice Able to describe and use a range of major concepts, theories and methodologies
		 Some critical judgement offered Arguments offer some analysis of key issues, but they may lack coherence and / or supporting evidence



	Evidence of familiarity with the key sources and/or
	evidence but may contain questionable
	interpretation of critical materials
	May contain some errors and/or areas for
	improvement
	Organisation and clarity of writing are of sufficient
	standard for the reader to engage easily
	Needs some improvement in one or more areas

3rd	48	Learning Outcome: Meets all of the intended
Classification	Upper	learning outcomes but rarely exceeds the threshold
		expectations for this level
	45	
	Middle	Assessment Criteria: Addresses some aspects of
		question/assignment, but with some important
	42	omissions.
	Lower	
	201101	Work that is typically characterised by evidence of the
		following:
		A minimum threshold level of knowledge and
		understanding of a more restricted range of
		issues.
		Demonstrates awareness of the subject but may
		not fully engage with all aspects of the task.
		Demonstrates limited ability to critically evaluate
		key concepts or theories, with arguments that may
		be underdeveloped or simplistic.
		·
		Organisation and clarity are sufficient for the
		reader to follow most aspects of the work.
		Some familiarity with basic reading material but
		little evidence of the use of sources and/or
		evidence, or overreliance on very basic resources



FAIL 38 35 32 28 25 22	35 32 28 25	Learning Outcome: Fails to meet the majority or all of the intended learning outcomes and is inadequate for this level. Assessment Criteria: Fails to address much of the question/assignment. Lots of omissions. Unsatisfactory work e.g lacks coherent argument, contains	
		15	major errors, and fails to engage with key concepts; that is typically characterised by evidence of the following: • Varying degrees of limited level of knowledge and
		10	understanding of a restricted range of issues. Poorly conceived and poorly directed to the question/task Limited understanding of empirical or theoretical issues but is not able to develop them further Limited evidence of argument and/or contains irrelevant or unrelated elements. Limited familiarity with basic reading materials and little evidence of the use of sources and/or evidence, or overreliance on very basic resources Likely to contain repetition, inconsistencies and/or some major errors Organisation and clarity of writing may be limited but will be sufficient for the reader to engage with most aspects of the work Needs significant improvement to meet pass threshold at undergraduate level
Non- submission or no adequate attempt		0	No submission, wrong answer, token submission (e.g. student number/date)

