

## Postgraduate Categorical Marking Scale and Assessment Description

Effective from 1st September 2025

Classification	Additional Description for Distinction Level only	Categorical Marking Scale	Detailed Assessment Description
<b>Postgraduate - Level 7</b>			
<b>Distinction</b>	Outstanding	100 Upper	<p><b>Learning Outcome:</b> Exceptional in all aspects, substantially exceeding expectations for this level. The work is of such a high standard that it could contribute meaningfully to the academic or professional field, showing an understanding that is at the forefront of the discipline.</p> <p><b>Assessment Criteria:</b> Inclusion of multiple elements beyond those required, demonstrating a mastery of the subject and an ability to engage with advanced concepts in an innovative way.</p> <p>Work that is typically characterised by evidence of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Exceptional level of knowledge and understanding of complex, cutting-edge issues, theories, and principles at the forefront of the discipline.</li> <li>• Extensive and original independent thought, demonstrating deep and innovative critical engagement with the subject matter.</li> <li>• Exceptional synthesis of ideas, critically challenging conventional views or existing knowledge with fresh perspectives or approaches that significantly extend current understanding.</li> </ul>
		95 Middle	
		92 Lower	

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear evidence of significant contribution to the field or discipline, through novel insights or well-substantiated propositions that have the potential for publication.</li> <li>• Exceptionally well-structured and coherent argumentation, clearly demonstrating a deep understanding of the complexity of the topic and presenting it in a highly accessible manner.</li> <li>• Extensive use of a wide range of sources, incorporating both foundational and highly specialized materials to support arguments, accurately referenced and deeply integrated into the work.</li> <li>• The work's quality is at a level that is publishable or could meet professional standards for dissemination or implementation, potentially pushing the boundaries of the field.</li> <li>• Excellent communication with clear, concise, and accurate expression that enhances the understanding of complex ideas</li> </ul>
--	--	--	--

<b>Distinction</b>	Publishable/ Professional standard	88 Upper	<p><b>Learning Outcome:</b> Outstanding in most/all aspects, substantially exceeding expectations for this level.</p> <p><b>Assessment Criteria:</b></p> <p>Underpinned by originality and/or novel ideas in thinking and a strong critical appreciation of the topic. No improvement could reasonably be expected.</p> <p>Work that is typically characterised by evidence of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An outstanding level of knowledge and understanding of complex issues, key concepts and principles at the forefront of the discipline</li> <li>• Outstanding awareness of subject and/or practice</li> <li>• Outstanding evidence of original, independent and critical thought</li> <li>• A strong, well-structured argument that is convincing and well supported by an outstanding range of sources and/or evidence</li> <li>• Pushes the boundaries of existing knowledge</li> <li>• Evidence of extensive and discriminating reading/use of source material, accurately used in support of the work</li> <li>• Clearly structured, robust and persuasive arguments, lucidly written, uses clear and accurate expression</li> </ul>
		85 Middle	
		82 Lower	

<b>Distinction</b>	Meets distinction criteria	78 Upper	<p><b>Learning Outcome:</b> Excellent quality, exceeding expectations for this level in many aspects.</p> <p><b>Assessment Criteria:</b> Inclusion of elements beyond those required.</p> <p>Work that is typically characterised by evidence of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A very good level to excellent of knowledge and understanding of complex issues, key concepts and principles at the forefront of the discipline</li> <li>• A very good to excellent awareness of subject and/or practice</li> <li>• Evidence of original, independent, and critical thought</li> <li>• A strong, well-structured argument that is convincing and well-supported by a wide range of sources and/or evidence</li> <li>• Explores the boundaries of existing knowledge</li> <li>• Evidence of extensive and discriminating reading/use of source material, accurately used in support of the work</li> <li>• Clearly structured, robust and persuasive arguments, lucidly written, uses clear and accurate expression</li> </ul>
		75 Middle	
		72 Lower	
<b>Merit Classification</b>		68 Upper	<p><b>Learning Outcome:</b> Meets all the intended learning outcomes and exceeds the threshold expectations for this level in several of them.</p> <p><b>Assessment Criteria:</b> Complete with no important omissions.</p> <p>Work that is typically characterised by evidence of the following:</p>
		65 Middle	

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A good or very good level of knowledge and understanding of key concepts and principles</li> <li>• Good or very good awareness of subject and/or practice</li> <li>• Able to describe and use a range of major concepts, theories and methodologies in a good or very good level of detail</li> <li>• Strong arguments which offer good analysis of key issues</li> <li>• Use of a broad/wide range of sources and/or evidence to support work</li> <li>• May contain some minor errors and/or minor areas for improvement</li> <li>• • Clearly structured, robust and persuasive arguments, lucidly written, uses clear and accurate expression</li> </ul>
		62 Lower	
<b>Pass Classification</b>		58 Upper	<p><b>Learning Outcome:</b> Meets all the intended learning outcomes for this level.</p> <p><b>Assessment Criteria:</b> Addresses the question/assignment. Some omissions.</p>
		55 Middle	Work that is typically characterised by evidence of the following:

		52 Lower	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A reasonable level of knowledge and understanding of key concepts and principles</li><li>• Sufficient awareness of subject and/or practice</li><li>• Able to describe and use a range of major concepts, theories and methodologies</li><li>• Some critical judgement offered</li><li>• Arguments offer some analysis of key issues, but they may lack coherence and / or supporting evidence</li><li>• Evidence of familiarity with the key sources and/or evidence but may contain questionable interpretation of critical materials</li><li>• May contain some errors and/or areas for improvement</li><li>• Organisation and clarity of writing are of sufficient standard for the reader to engage easily</li><li>• Needs some improvement in one or more areas</li></ul>
--	--	-------------	--

<b>Fail</b>	48	<b>Learning Outcome:</b> Meets some of the intended learning outcomes
	45	<b>Assessment Criteria:</b> Addresses some aspects of question/assignment, but with some important omissions. Needs improvements before meeting pass threshold at PgT level.
	42	<p>Unsatisfactory work that is typically characterised by evidence of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A limited level of knowledge and understanding of a restricted range of issues. Poorly conceived and poorly directed to the question/task</li> <li>• Shows some understanding of empirical or theoretical issues but is not able to develop them further</li> <li>• Some evidence of argument but contains irrelevant or unrelated elements. Arguments may be superficial/shallow</li> <li>• Some familiarity with basic reading material but little evidence of the use of sources and/or evidence, or over reliance on very basic resources</li> <li>• Likely to contain repetition, inconsistencies and/or some major errors • Organisation and clarity of writing may be limited, but will be sufficient for the reader to engage with most aspects of the work</li> <li>• Needs some improvement before meeting pass threshold at PGT level</li> </ul>

Fail	38	<p><b>Learning Outcome:</b> Fails to meet most or all of the intended learning outcomes and is inadequate for this level.</p> <p><b>Assessment Criteria:</b> Fails to address much of the question/assignment. Lots of omissions.</p> <p>Unsatisfactory work that is typically characterised by evidence of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A very limited level of knowledge and understanding of a restricted range of issues. Poorly conceived and poorly directed to the question/task</li> <li>• Limited understanding of empirical or theoretical issues but is not able to develop them further</li> <li>• Limited evidence of argument and/or contains irrelevant or unrelated elements.</li> <li>• Limited familiarity with basic reading materials and little evidence of the use of sources and/or evidence, or over reliance on very basic resources</li> <li>• Likely to contain repetition, inconsistencies and/or some major errors</li> <li>• Organisation and clarity of writing may be limited, but will be sufficient for the reader to engage with most aspects of the work</li> <li>• Needs significant improvement to meet pass threshold at PGT level</li> </ul>
	35	
	32	
	28	
	25	
	22	
	15	
	10	
Non-submission or no adequate attempt	0	No submission, wrong answer, token submission (e.g. student number/date)